US009481835B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Corscadden et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,481,835 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Nov. 1, 2016**

(54) **OPTIMAL ASPHALTENE CONVERSION AND REMOVAL FOR HEAVY HYDROCARBONS**

(75) Inventors: **Tom Corscadden**, Calgary (CA); **Jim Kearns**, Calgary (CA); **Greg Diduch**, Calgary (CA); **Damien Hocking**, Calgary (CA); **Darius Remesat**, Calgary (CA)

(73) Assignee: **MEG ENERGY CORP.**, Calgary (CA)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1346 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/037,185**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 28, 2011**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2011/0215030 A1 Sep. 8, 2011

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/309,556, filed on Mar. 2, 2010.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
C10G 53/04	(2006.01)
C10G 21/00	(2006.01)
C10G 55/04	(2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *C10G 53/04* (2013.01); *C10G 21/003* (2013.01); *C10G 55/04* (2013.01); *C10G 2300/1033* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC C10G 53/04; C10G 21/003
USPC 208/97
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,493,265	A	1/1950	Scheibel
2,850,431	A	9/1958	Smith

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2455149	A1	6/2004
CA	2764676	C	8/2012

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Beuther et al., "Thermal Visbreaking of Heavy Residues", The Oil and Gas Journal. 57:46, Nov. 9, 1959, pp. 151-157; Rhoe et al.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Randy Boyer
Assistant Examiner — Juan Valencia
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Bennett Jones LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The invention provides improved apparatus and method for producing a pipeline-ready or refinery-ready feedstock from heavy, high asphaltene crude, comprising a pre-heater for pre-heating a process fluid to a design temperature at or near the operating temperature of a reactor; moving the process fluid into the reactor for conversion of the process fluid by controlled application of heat to the process fluid in the reactor so that the process fluid maintains a substantially homogenous temperature to produce a stream of thermally affected asphaltene-rich fractions, and a stream of vapor. The stream of vapor is separated into two further streams: of non-condensable vapor, and of light liquid hydrocarbons. The thermally affected asphaltene-rich fraction is deasphalted using a solvent extraction process into streams of heavy deasphalted oil liquid, and concentrated asphaltene, respectively. The deasphalted oil liquid and the light liquid hydrocarbons produced are blended to form a pipeline or refinery-ready feedstock.

9 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,005,769	A	10/1961	Garwin
3,053,751	A	9/1962	Garwin
3,318,804	A	5/1967	Van Pool et al.
3,516,928	A	6/1970	King et al.
3,714,033	A	1/1973	Somekh et al.
3,714,034	A	1/1973	Kosseim et al.
3,779,902	A	12/1973	Mitchell et al.
3,811,843	A	5/1974	Morfit et al.
3,847,751	A	11/1974	Godino et al.
3,968,023	A	7/1976	Yan
4,017,383	A	4/1977	Beavon
4,062,758	A	12/1977	Goudriaan et al.
4,088,540	A	5/1978	Bunas
4,101,415	A	7/1978	Crowley
4,125,458	A	11/1978	Bushnell et al.
4,191,636	A	3/1980	Fukui et al.
4,200,525	A	4/1980	Karr
4,233,138	A	11/1980	Rollmann et al.
4,239,616	A	12/1980	Gearhart
4,260,476	A	4/1981	Vidueira et al.
4,278,529	A	7/1981	Gearhart
4,389,302	A	6/1983	Garwin et al.
4,421,639	A	12/1983	Lambert et al.
4,428,824	A	1/1984	Choi et al.
4,454,023	A *	6/1984	Lutz 208/96
4,455,216	A	6/1984	Angevine et al.
4,482,453	A	11/1984	Coombs et al.
4,528,100	A	7/1985	Zarchy
4,530,754	A	7/1985	Shiroto et al.
4,572,781	A	2/1986	Krasuk et al.
4,640,762	A	2/1987	Woods et al.
4,673,485	A	6/1987	Bristow et al.
4,686,028	A	8/1987	Van Driesen et al.
4,767,521	A	8/1988	Feldman et al.
4,773,986	A	9/1988	Feldman et al.
4,778,586	A	10/1988	Bain et al.
4,810,367	A	3/1989	Chombart et al.
4,818,371	A	4/1989	Bain et al.
4,846,958	A	7/1989	Feldman et al.
4,940,529	A	7/1990	Beaton et al.
4,994,172	A	2/1991	Buchanan et al.
5,008,085	A	4/1991	Bain et al.
5,009,772	A	4/1991	Nelson et al.
5,013,427	A	5/1991	Mosby et al.
5,124,025	A	6/1992	Kolstad et al.
5,124,026	A	6/1992	Taylor et al.
5,124,027	A	6/1992	Beaton et al.
5,188,709	A	2/1993	Kuerston et al.
5,192,421	A	3/1993	Audeh et al.
5,228,978	A	7/1993	Taylor et al.
5,242,578	A	9/1993	Taylor et al.
5,258,117	A	11/1993	Kolstad et al.
5,601,697	A	2/1997	Miller et al.
5,635,055	A	6/1997	Sweet et al.
5,667,686	A	9/1997	Schubert
5,795,464	A	8/1998	Sankey et al.
5,919,355	A	7/1999	Hood
5,932,090	A	8/1999	Marchionna et al.
5,976,360	A *	11/1999	Blum et al. 208/263
5,976,361	A	11/1999	Hood et al.
6,183,627	B1	2/2001	Friday et al.
6,210,560	B1	4/2001	Wiehe et al.
6,274,003	B1	8/2001	Friday et al.
6,274,032	B2	8/2001	Hood et al.
6,357,526	B1	3/2002	Abdel-Halim et al.
6,524,469	B1	2/2003	Schucker
6,533,925	B1	3/2003	Wallace et al.
6,540,918	B2	4/2003	Gil et al.
6,972,085	B1	12/2005	Brecher et al.
7,297,250	B2	11/2007	Bronicki
7,381,320	B2	6/2008	Iqbal et al.
7,582,204	B2	9/2009	Gueret et al.
7,585,407	B2	9/2009	Duyvesteyn et al.
7,597,794	B2	10/2009	Zhao et al.
7,691,256	B2	4/2010	Montanari et al.

7,718,839	B2	5/2010	Baumgartner et al.
7,749,378	B2	7/2010	Iqbal et al.
7,758,746	B2	7/2010	Yeggy et al.
7,799,207	B2	9/2010	Allinson et al.
7,837,859	B2	11/2010	Ou et al.
7,867,385	B2	1/2011	Yeggy et al.
7,964,090	B2	6/2011	Iqbal
7,976,695	B2	7/2011	Brecher
8,048,291	B2	11/2011	Subramanian et al.
8,252,179	B2	8/2012	Aoki et al.
9,085,499	B2	7/2015	Frey et al.
2001/0002654	A1	6/2001	Hood et al.
2003/0019790	A1	1/2003	Schucker
2003/0089636	A1	5/2003	Marchionna et al.
2003/0129109	A1	7/2003	Bronicki
2004/0163996	A1	8/2004	Colyar
2006/0032789	A1	2/2006	Bronicki
2006/0272982	A1	12/2006	Montanari et al.
2007/0125686	A1	6/2007	Zheng et al.
2008/0083652	A1	4/2008	Morel et al.
2008/0093259	A1	4/2008	Brecher
2009/0101540	A1	4/2009	Marchionna et al.
2009/0166253	A1	7/2009	Subramanian et al.
2009/0166254	A1	7/2009	Subramanian et al.
2010/0243518	A1	9/2010	Zimmerman et al.
2010/0300931	A1	12/2010	Barrero et al.
2010/0300934	A1	12/2010	Marchionna et al.
2011/0005970	A1	1/2011	Ou et al.
2011/0011720	A1 *	1/2011	Rinker C10G 1/00 201/4
2011/0017642	A1	1/2011	Duyvesteyn
2011/0028573	A1	2/2011	Hassan et al.
2011/0061298	A1	3/2011	Frey et al.
2011/0215030	A1	9/2011	Corscadden
2011/0266198	A1	11/2011	Hassan et al.
2013/0081325	A1	4/2013	Corscadden et al.
2013/0098735	A1	4/2013	Corscadden et al.
2013/0180888	A1	7/2013	Corscadden et al.
2013/0266556	A9 *	10/2013	Medoff 424/94.65

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	1410510	A	4/2003
CN	1485412	A	3/2004
CN	1729275	A	2/2006
CN	1891784	A	1/2007
CN	101045872	A	10/2007
CN	101952395	A	1/2011
RU	2124040		12/1998
WO	WO 2007/103005	A1	9/2007
WO	WO 2008/106765	A1	9/2008
WO	WO 2010/060092	A2	5/2010
WO	WO 2011/062737		5/2011
WO	WO 2011/084564	A2	7/2011
WO	WO 2011/106878	A1	9/2011
WO	WO 2013/044346	A1	4/2013

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Visbreaking: A Flexible Process", Hydrocarbon Processing, Jan. 1979, pp. 131-136.

Beuther et al., "Thermal Visbreaking of Heavy Residues", The Oil and Gas Journal. 57:46, Nov. 9, 1959, pp. 151-157.

Rhoe et al., "Visbreaking: A Flexible Process", Hydrocarbon Processing, Jan. 1979, pp. 131-136.

Golden and Barletta, "Designing Vacuum Units" (for Canadian heavy crudes), Petroleum Technology Quarterly, Q2, 2006, pp. 105-110.

R. Ulrich et al., "Application of the Rotating Disk Method to the Study of Bitumen Dissolution into Organic Solvents", Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, vol. 69, Aug. 1991.

Engineering ToolBox, "Ethane", no date.

ChemEd DL, "Viscosity", Dec. 16, 2010.

Thermodedia, "Pentane", Feb. 2, 2011.

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

FIG. 3

Fig. 4 – Distillation analysis for various crudes including Process 10 Product

OPTIMAL ASPHALTENE CONVERSION AND REMOVAL FOR HEAVY HYDROCARBONS

The present invention relates to a method of improving a heavy hydrocarbon, such as bitumen, to a lighter more fluid product and, more specifically, to a final hydrocarbon product that is refinery-ready and/or meets pipeline transport criteria without the addition of diluent. It is targeted to enhance Canadian bitumen, but has general application in improving any heavy hydrocarbon.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Sweet crude resources require less capital input for refining, and have a much lower cost of processing than heavy sour crudes. However, the global availability of light, sweet crude to supply to refineries for the production of transportation fuels is on the decline making the processing of heavy sour crude an increasingly important option to meet the world's demand for hydrocarbon-based fuels.

Most (if not all) commercial upgraders for processing heavy crude have been built to convert heavy viscous hydrocarbons into crude products that range from light sweet to medium sour blends. Heavy oil upgraders basically achieve this by high intensity conversion processes which either release up to 20% by weight of the feedstock as a coke byproduct and another 5% as off-gas product, or require hydro-processing such as hydrocracking and hydro-treating to maximize the conversion of the heavy components in the feedstock to lighter, lower sulfur liquid products and gas.

DESCRIPTION OF PRIOR ART

Processes have been disclosed to convert and/or condition Oil Sands bitumen into pipeline transportable and refinery acceptable crude. Of note, thermal cracking, catalytic cracking, solvent deasphalting and combinations of all three (for example, visbreaking and solvent deasphalting) have been proposed to convert bitumen to improve its characteristics for transport and use as a refinery feedstock.

Thermal Cracking

Visbreaking or viscosity breaking, a form of thermal cracking, is a well known petroleum refining process in which heavy and/or reduced crudes are pyrolyzed, or cracked, under comparatively mild conditions to provide products that have lower viscosities and pour points, thus reducing required amounts of less-viscous and increasingly costly to obtain blending hydrocarbons known as diluent to improve fluidity of the crude, and make the crude meet minimum transport pipeline specifications (minimum API gravity of 19).

There are two basic visbreaking configurations, the coil-only visbreaker and the coil-and-soak visbreaker. Both require heaters to heat the crude, with the coil-only style employing cracking only in the heater tubes. Coil-only visbreakers operate at about 900° F. at the heater outlet with a residence time of about 1 minute. Gas oil is recycled to quench the reaction. In the coil-and-soak visbreaker, a vessel is used at the outlet of a furnace to provide additional residence time for cracking of the crude. The crude sits and continues to crack/react as the temperature slowly reduces. The coil-and-soak visbreaker runs at heater outlet temperatures of 800° F. The soaker drum temperature reduces down to 700° F. at the outlet with aggregate residence times of over 1 hour.

Examples of such visbreaking methods are described in Beuther et al., "Thermal Visbreaking of Heavy Residues",

The Oil and Gas Journal. 57:46, Nov. 9, 1959, pp. 151-157; Rhoe et al., "Visbreaking: A Flexible Process", Hydrocarbon Processing, January 1979, pp. 131-136; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,233,138. The yield structure is approximately same for either configuration: 1-3% light ends, 5% (wt) naphtha and 15% (vt) gas oil. The remainder remains as heavy oil or bitumen. The products are separated in a distillation column for further processing or blending.

A concern with standard visbreaking schemes is that for Canadian Bitumen, the operating temperatures are above the limit (around 700° F.-720° F.) where significant coking impacts operability (Golden and Bartletta, Designing Vacuum Units (for Canadian heavy crudes), Petroleum Technology Quarterly, Q2, 2006, pp. 105). In addition, heat is added over a short period of time in the heater, so local heat fluxes are not uniform and can peak well above coking initiation limits; and the heat is not maintained consistently allowing for condensation reactions to occur. Attempting to apply conventional visbreaking to Canadian Bitumen is limited due to the propensity for coking and inability of these systems to manage this issue.

In the first part of U.S. Pat. No. 6,972,085 and in patent application US2008/0093259 an attempt is made to address the desire for a constant and sustained application of heat to the crude over an extended period of time. Essentially, the heater and the holding vessel are merged into one vessel to create a continuous heated bath for the crude. Multiple heating levels are applied to the crude at various times. This is an improvement over standard visbreaking but does not eliminate hot spots within the processed crude, permitting coking due to temperature peaks above optimal levels for cracking.

Combination of Thermal/Catalytic Cracking and Solvent Deasphalting

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,454,023 a process for the treatment of heavy viscous hydrocarbon oil is disclosed, the process comprising the steps of: visbreaking the oil; fractionating the visbroken oil; solvent deasphalting the non-distilled portion of the visbroken oil in a two-stage deasphalting process to produce separate asphaltene, resin, and deasphalted oil fractions; mixing the deasphalted oil ("DAO") with the visbroken distillates; and recycling and combining resins from the deasphalting step with the feedstock initially delivered to the visbreaker. The U.S. '023 patent provides a means for upgrading lighter hydrocarbons (API gravity>15) than Canadian Bitumen but is burdened by the misapplication of the thermal cracking technology that will over-crack and coke the hydrocarbon stream, and by the complexity and cost of a two-stage solvent deasphalting system to separate the resin fraction from the deasphalted oil. In addition, the need to recycle part of the resin stream increases the operating costs and complexity of operation.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,191,636, heavy oil is continuously converted into asphaltenes and metal-free oil by hydrotreating the heavy oil to crack asphaltenes selectively and remove heavy metals such as nickel and vanadium simultaneously. The liquid products are separated into a light fraction of an asphaltene-free and metal-free oil and a heavy fraction of an asphaltene- and heavy metal-containing oil. The light fraction is recovered as a product and the heavy fraction is recycled to the hydrotreating step. Catalytic conversion of Canadian heavy bitumen (API gravity<10) using this '636 process is a high-intensity process that tends to have reliability issues with rapid catalyst deactivation impacting selectivity and yield.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,428,824, a solvent deasphalting unit is installed upstream of a visbreaking unit to remove the

asphaltenes from the visbreaking operation. In this configuration, the visbreaking unit can now operate at higher temperatures to convert the heavier molecules to lighter hydrocarbon molecules without fouling, since the asphaltenes are removed from the product stream entirely. However, the yield of the bitumen is greatly reduced (by 10-15%) since the early removal of the asphaltenes in the process prevents thermal conversion of this portion of the crude into a refinable product.

As in U.S. Pat. No. 4,428,824, U.S. Pat. No. 6,274,032, disclosed a process for treating a hydrocarbon feed source comprising a fractionator to separate the primary crude components, followed by a Solvent Deasphalting (SDA) unit to work on the heavier crude asphaltene rich component, and a mild thermal cracker for the non-asphaltene stream. The asphaltene rich stream is processed in a gasification unit to generate syngas for hydrogen requirements. Placing an SDA unit upstream of a thermal cracker reduces the overall yield of the bitumen as refinery feed, since the asphaltene portion of the crude, comprising up to 15% of Canadian bitumen, is removed from consideration for inclusion in some format as crude. This loss in product yield is not compensated for by the increased cracking in the visbreaker.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,686,028 a process for the treatment of whole crude oil is disclosed, the process comprising the steps of deasphalting a high boiling range hydrocarbon in a two-stage deasphalting process to produce separate asphaltene, resin, and deasphalted oil fractions, followed by upgrading only the resin fraction by hydrogenation or visbreaking. The U.S. Pat. No. 4,686,028 invention applies visbreaking to a favourable portion of the whole crude stream to minimize coke generation. However, PAT '028 is limited by missing a large part of the crude that could benefit from optimal conversion and thus a large portion of the crude does not end up as pipeline product without the need of transport diluent.

In U.S. Pat. No. 5,601,697 a process is disclosed for the treatment of topped crude oil, the process comprising the steps of vacuum distilling the topped crude oil, deasphalting the bottoms product from the distillation, catalytic cracking of the deasphalting oil, mixing distillable catalytic cracking fractions (atmospheric equivalent boiling temperature of less than about 1100 degrees F.) to produce products comprising transportation fuels, light gases, and slurry oil. U.S. Pat. No. '697 is burdened by the complexity, cost, and technical viability of vacuum distilling a topped heavy crude to about 850° F. and catalytic cracking the deasphalted oil to produce transportation fuels.

In U.S. Pat. No. 6,533,925, a process is described involving the integration of a solvent deasphalting process with a gasification process and an improved process for separating a resin phase from a solvent solution comprising a solvent, deasphalted oil (DAO) and resin. A resin extractor with the solvent elevated in temperature above that of the first asphaltene extractor is included in the '925 invention. The asphaltene stream is treated but removed prior to any thermal conversion eliminating the possibility of obtaining a value uplift into useable refinery feedstock. The impact is a reduction in the overall yield of the crude stream.

In U.S. Patent application 2007/0125686, a process is disclosed where a heavy hydrocarbon stream is first separated into various fractions via distillation with the heavy component sent to a mild thermal cracker (visbreaker). The remaining heavy liquid from the mild thermal cracker is solvent deasphalted in an open art SDA unit. The asphaltenes separated from the SDA are used as feed to a

gasifier. The deasphalted oil is blended with the condensed mild thermal cracker vapour to form a blended product. As stated with Pat '023 above, visbreaking faces the challenges of early coke generation. Specifically, the '686 patent application explains that the intent of this mild thermal cracker is to crack the non-asphaltene material exclusively, which is also not practical with Canadian bitumen. In addition, additional energy is required in the distillation steps with most of the separated components recombined for pipeline transport.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is to be understood that other aspects of the present invention will become readily apparent to those skilled in the art from the following detailed description, wherein various embodiments of the invention are shown and described by way of illustration. As will be realized, the invention is capable of other and different embodiments and its several details are capable of modification in various other respects, all without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Accordingly the drawings and detailed description are to be regarded as illustrative in nature and not as restrictive.

Essentially, an improved process for producing a pipeline-ready crude and refinery feedstock from heavy crude oils, such as Canadian Oil Sands bitumen, is described, with said process consisting of: (1) optimal asphaltene conversion with minimum coke and offgas make, in a full bitumen stream, within a reactor to produce a thermally affected asphaltene-rich fraction, a minimum non-condensable vapour stream and an increased refinery-feed liquid stream; (2) deasphalting said thermally affected asphaltene-rich fraction into a refinery-feed liquid stream and a concentrated asphaltene stream; (3) Selectively treating specific hydrocarbon components as required for pipeline specification and, finally blending of all the liquid streams to produce a refinery feed; and (4) flash drying of the concentrated asphaltene stream for conversion in a gasifier or asphalt plant.

The bitumen is thermally treated to remove and convert/ crack selected asphaltenes, which are then sufficiently separated in a more efficient solvent extraction process, reducing production of coke and isolating undesirable contaminants (like metals, MCR, and remaining asphaltenes).

Considering the relative complexity and high degree of side chains on the Canadian bitumen asphaltenes, under the operating conditions of the invention disclosed here (optimally targeted asphaltene conversion reactor—**30**), the side chains are preferentially cleaved from the core asphaltene molecule to make desired vacuum gas oil to light hydrocarbon range components. The remaining polyaromatic asphaltene cores separate more readily than non-thermally affected asphaltenes resulting in improved separation processes, such as solvent deasphalting (**50**).

Further, the heavier hydrocarbons in the bitumen are also mildly cracked to vacuum gas oil, gasoline and distillate boiling range components, all desirable for separation and conversion in refineries. Any major deviations in temperature and heat flux within the bitumen pool in the reactor will lead to coking and increased gas yield and a reduction in the overall crude yield of the original bitumen, and reduced reliability of the operation, increasing the operating cost of the facility.

The invention provides improved apparatus and method for producing a pipeline-ready and/or refinery-ready feedstock from heavy, high asphaltene crudes (for example, Canadian bitumen), the process and apparatus comprising a

pre-heater for pre-heating a process fluid to a design temperature at or near the desirable operating temperature of a reactor; moving the process fluid into a reactor for conversion of the process fluid by controlled application of heat to the process fluid in the reactor so that the process fluid maintains a substantially homogenous temperature throughout the reactor to produce a stream of thermally affected asphaltene-rich fractions, and a stream of liquid hydrocarbon vapour with minimal non-condensable vapour. The stream of vapour is separated into two further streams: of non-condensable vapour, and of light liquid hydrocarbons. The thermally affected asphaltene-rich fraction is deasphalted, using a solvent extraction process, into streams of heavy deasphalted oil liquid, and concentrated asphaltene, respectively. The deasphalted oil liquid and the light liquid hydrocarbons produced in the processes are blended to form a pipeline and refinery-ready feedstock.

A sweep gas can be deployed in the reactor, and can be preheated to provide a heat flux source other than the reactor's heaters; similarly, the sweep gas assists in the removal of reactor vapour products.

Deasphalting can be achieved using an open-art solvent extraction process; since the initial process fluid has been separated so that only the heavy asphaltene-rich fractions require deasphalting, extraction processes using high solvent-to-oil ratios are feasible and economical Improved solvent-extraction performance, using lower solvent to oil ratios and improved DAO yield can be achieved by further concentrating the asphaltene rich fraction before a final extraction step.

The process improves on open-art solvent deasphalting utilizing an additional solvent extraction column (rinse column) operating on the asphaltene-rich stream from the primary solvent extraction column to increase pipeline crude recovery and quality.

The SDA process may allow for some portion of the heavy asphaltene-rich hydrocarbon stream to be recycled and blended with the fresh feed to the reactor.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring to the drawings wherein like reference numerals indicate similar parts throughout the several views, several aspects of the present invention are illustrated by way of example, and not by way of limitation, in detail in the figures, wherein:

FIG. **1** is a process diagram for forming a pipeline transportable hydrocarbon product from a heavy hydrocarbon feedstock; and

FIG. **2** is a process diagram pertaining specifically to a cracking process and liquid separation process; and

FIG. **3** is a process diagram for an exemplary solvent de-asphalting process.

FIG. **4** is a table reflecting the distillation analysis for various crudes including Process **10** Product.

DESCRIPTION OF VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS

Units, Streams and Equipment in the Figures

The lists of Units, Process Streams and Equipment elements provided below are indexed to numbered components in the Figures, and are provided for the readers' reference.
Units in FIG. **1**
10=Process
20=Feed Heater
30=Reactor
40=Gas Liquid Separator
50=High Performance Solvent Extraction

Streams in FIG. **1**
12=Fresh Bitumen Feed
14=Complete feed to heater
21=Feed to Reactor
32=Reactor Overhead
34=Reactor bottoms
36=Sweep Gas to Reactor
43=non-Condensable vapour
44=Light hydrocarbon liquid from **40**
52=DAO
54=Resin
58=Asphaltene Rich Stream
60=Product
70=Resin Recycle
Units in FIG. **2**
30=Reactor—Optimal Asphaltene Conversion Unit—
41=Overhead Condenser
42=Vapour/Liquid Separator
Streams in FIG. **2**
21=Feed to Reactor
22=Energy/Heat addition to Reactor
32=Reactor Overhead
34=Reactor bottoms
36=Sweep Gas to Reactor
43=non-Condensable vapour
44=Light hydrocarbon liquid from **42**
45=Feed to vapour/liquid separator **42**
46=Light, light hydrocarbon liquid from **42**
Equipment in FIG. **3**
50*a*=pipe with static mixers (co-current primary extractor)
50*b*=cooler
50*c*=clarifier/settler
50*d*=heater
50*e*=rinse column (secondary asphaltene extractor)
50*f*=resin extractor
50*g*=solvent extractor
Streams in FIG. **3**
34=Feed to SDA unit from reactor bottoms
52=DAO to product blending
54=resin bottoms product to solvent extraction
55=outlet of co-current pipe/static mixers
56=feed to clarifier
57=solvent addition
58=Asphaltene-Rich stream
59=clarifier overhead to resin column
61=clarifier bottoms to rinse column
62=feed to rinse column
63=make-up solvent
64=rinse overhead outlet to resin column
65=make-up solvent
66=resin extractor overheads to solvent extractor (**50***g*)
67=Recovered solvent for reprocessing

DESCRIPTION OF VARIOUS EMBODIMENTS

The detailed description set forth below in connection with the appended drawings is intended as a description of various embodiments of the present invention and is not intended to represent the only embodiments contemplated by the inventor. The detailed description includes specific details for the purpose of providing a comprehensive understanding of the present invention. However, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details.

FIG. **1** is a process flow diagram depicting a process **10** for forming a hydrocarbon product **60** from a hydrocarbon feedstock **12**, where the final hydrocarbon product **60** has

sufficient characteristics to meet minimum pipeline transportation requirements (minimum API gravity of 19) and/or is a favourable refinery feedstock. A process fluid **14** formed from a feedstock **12** of heavy hydrocarbon can be routed through a heater **20** to heat the process fluid **14** to a desired temperature level before it is routed to a reactor **30** where the process fluid **14** is controlled and maintained while it undergoes a mild controlled cracking process. After the mild cracking process, a light top fraction **32** can be routed from the reactor **30** to a gas liquid condensing separator process **40** and a heavy bottom fraction **34** can be routed to a high performance solvent extraction process **50**. Some of the outputs **44** from the gas liquid separation process **40** can be blended with some of the outputs **52**, **54** of the high performance solvent extraction process **50** to result in a hydrocarbon product **60** that has sufficient physical characteristics to enable it to meet the required pipeline transport criteria without having to mix the final hydrocarbon product **60** with diluents from external sources, or requiring much reduced volumes of such diluent.

The feedstock **12** can be a heavy hydrocarbon, such as the heavy hydrocarbon obtained from a SAGD (steam assisted gravity drainage) process, for example Canadian Oil sands bitumen, or from any other suitable source of heavy hydrocarbon. In one aspect, the feedstock **12** can have an API gravity in the range of 0 to 14.

In one aspect, a recycled portion **70** of the resin stream **54** output from the high performance solvent extraction process **50** can be blended with the incoming feedstock **12** to form the process fluid **14** that passes through process **10**. The resin stream may be added to the process fluid in instances in which further crude yield, and/or lighter crude, and/or asphaltene suppression is desired in order to meet treated product characteristic targets. The resin recycle provides the operator with flexibility, through an adjustable flow parameter, to meet production specifications, and allows the plant to handle feedstock variations robustly.

The resin product **54** from the solvent extraction process **50** will typically have a relatively low API gravity. In one aspect, the API gravity of the resin product **54** can have an API gravity between 0 and 10. Depending on the characteristics of the feedstock **12** and the amount of resin product **54** blended with the feedstock **12**, the resulting process fluid **14** can have a range of characteristics and particularly a range of API gravities.

The process fluid **14** (obtained entirely from the feedstock **12** or formed as a blend of feedstock **12** and resin product **54** from the solvent extraction process **50**) can be routed to the heater **20** where the process fluid **14** can be heated to a desired temperature as it passes through the heater **20** before being routed to the reactor **30** to undergo mild thermal cracking. Reactor **30** maintains a consistent fluid temperature through a uniform application of heat through-out the reactor to allow for mild thermal cracking to occur without coking being a concern or detrimental to the operation and/or performance of the reactor.

In one aspect, the heater **20** will heat the process fluid **14** to a temperature between 675-775° F. before the process fluid **14** is introduced into the reactor **30**.

In the reactor **30**, the process fluid **14** (heated to between 675-775° F. by the heater **20**) undergoes a mild controlled cracking process. Appropriately located heaters are provided to maintain the desired constant temperature generated in heater **20** and to apply uniform heat flux for the fluid **14** in this reactor **30**. The heaters provide heat through any source readily available (electric, heat transfer fluid, radiant etc.).

The reactor **30** can be operated in a manner, through optimizing primarily five inter-related process variables (Heat Flux Temperature, Residence Time, Pressure and Sweep Gas), so as to reduce or even prevent coke from forming during the reaction, and minimizing gas production, while also providing optimal conversion of the asphaltene portion of the heavy hydrocarbon to refinery-ready feedstock components.

The first and second variables involve applying a uniform heat flux between 7000-12000 BTU/hrsq.ft to the entire pool of process fluid in the reactor and maintaining a single operating temperature in the reactor between 675-775° F. This may be achieved by the presence of appropriately sized and located heating devices in the reactor. In an embodiment, the number of heaters will be set by calculating the optimal dispersion of heat between any two heaters so as to have a uniform temperature throughout the pool and to avoid peak or spot temperatures significantly higher than the target temperature in the reactor.

The third reactor variable, residence time, can be between 40-180 minutes in the reactor.

The fourth reactor variable, operating pressure, can be maintained at near atmospheric pressure, in any case, to be less than 50 psig, with standard pressure control principles used for consistent performance. The pressure range is controlled on the low end to prevent excessive, premature flashing of hydrocarbon, essentially bypassing the reactor, and limited on the high end to reduce secondary cracking and consequent increased gas yields.

The fifth reactor variable, hot sweep gas **36**, in the same temperature range as the process fluid (675-775° F.) **21**, is added to the process fluid **14** in the reactor **30** in the range of 20-80 scf/bbl.

The sweep gas **36** can be natural gas, hydrogen, produced/fuel gas from the process, steam, nitrogen or any other non-reactive, non-condensable gas that will not condense to a liquid.

Sweep gas in the dosage of 20-80 scf/bbl of feed is provided to remove the "lighter" hydrocarbon products (i.e. methane to <750° F. boiling point hydrocarbons) as soon as they are formed in the reactor **30** so that there is a minimum of secondary cracking which could increase gas make and potentially increase olefinic naphtha/distillate production.

The sweep gas may also allow the reactor to operate closer to the desired operating pressure (<50 psig) and temperature. The sweep gas **36** can also be used to provide additional heat to the process fluid **14** in the reactor **30**.

As discussed with respect to FIGS. **1** and **2**, the heat energy stream **22**, for reactor **30** is uniformly (7000-12000 BTU/hrsq.ft) applied throughout the hydrocarbon residence time (40-180 minutes) in the reactor at the desired temperature (675-775° F.) and pressure (less than 50 psig) to minimize any local peak fluid temperatures which can initiate coking, and thereby allowing an increased thermal transfer of heat at a higher bulk temperature improving the conversion of hydrocarbons within reactor **30**. At these operating conditions, the reaction kinetics favour optimum conversion of the asphaltenes that preferentially cleaves the outlying hydrocarbon chains creating desirable hydrocarbons (VGO and diesel range hydrocarbons) for the refiner without causing coking and increased gas production in the reactor. As an example, Table 4 illustrates different configurations of asphaltenes for different types of crudes. The proposed operating conditions of reactor **30** factor in the relative complexity and high degree of side chains on different crudes.

TABLE 4

Average molecular structures representing asphaltene molecules from different sources:
A, asphaltenes from traditional heavy crudes; B, asphaltenes from Canadian bitumen (Sheremata et al., 2004).

A

B

Each variable may be changed independently, within the ranges suggested, based on the quality of feedstock provided or based on the quality of output desired. Since the 5 noted process variables are inter-related, a multi-variable process control scheme with a prescribed objective function (maximum yield to meet minimum product specifications) will be beneficial to ensure the process operates at an optimal point when any one of the variables is changed or the feed/product situation is altered.

Once the process fluid **14** has remained in the reactor **30** for a sufficient amount of time so that the characteristics of the outputs of the reactor **30** reach desired qualities, a light overhead fraction **32** and a heavy bottoms fraction **34** can be removed from the reactor **30**.

The light overhead fraction **32** of the output from the reactor **30** can contain non-condensable vapor products, light liquid hydrocarbon and heavier liquid hydrocarbon. The vapor products can be vapors released from the process fluid **14**, such as sour gas, while undergoing thermal cracking, as well as introduced and unconverted or unused sweep gas **36** that has passed through the reactor **30**.

The overhead liquid fraction **32** will have a much higher API gravity than the bottom fraction **34**. For example, the overhead liquid fraction **32** could typically have an API gravity of 26 or greater. The overhead fraction **32** can be directed to a gas liquid separation unit **40**, which can comprise a cooler **41** and separation drum **42**, as an example, in which a portion of the overhead fraction **32** that is a condensable liquid product containing naphtha and heavier hydrocarbons can be separated from the gaseous components of the overhead fraction **32**. An off-gas line **43** containing undesirable gases such as sour gas, can be removed at the separation drum **42** to be disposed of, recycled, or subjected to further treatment.

One or more liquid hydrocarbon streams can be produced from separation drum **42**. Stream **44**, a heavier hydrocarbon than stream **46**, can be sent to product blending, while stream **46** can be considered for further bulk hydro-treating prior to product blending.

The bottom fraction **34** can contain hydrocarbons, and modified asphaltenes. Although the characteristics of the bottom fraction **34** taken from the reactor **30** will vary depending on the process fluid **14** input into the reactor **30** and the reactor's operating parameters, in one aspect the bottom fraction **34** can have an API gravity ranging between −5 and 5.

Controllable process variables allow an operator to vary the performance of the reactor **30** to meet the needs of the final product based on any changing characteristics of the incoming process fluid **14**. The controllability of the five inter-related variables, residence time, sweep gas, heat flux, temperature and pressure in the reactor **30** allow an operator to vary the performance of the reactor **30**. In this manner, when the characteristics of the feedstock **12** are changed either as fresh feed or resin recycle **70**, the five inter-related process variables can be optimized to avoid the production of coke and minimize the production of non-condensable vapors which are produced in the reactor **30**. For example, the operator can vary the residence time of the process fluid **14** in the reactor **30** based on the characteristics of the process fluid **14** to obtain the desired yields and/or quality of the outputs **32**, **34**. Alternatively, the operator can vary the sweep gas, temperature or pressure to achieve similar outcomes. The process variables are inter-related and the minimization of coke and avoidance of excess gas make is challenging and is best determined by pilot operations.

The bottom fraction **34** from the reactor **30** can be fed to a high performance solvent extraction process **50** that can produce a thermally affected asphaltene stream **58**, an extracted oil stream **52** and a resin stream **54**. The reactor **30** is operated in a manner that significantly limits and even prevents the formation of coke and reduces gas production while converting asphaltenes into more suitable components for downstream processing. Consequently, modified asphaltenes and other undesirable elements remain in the bottom fraction **34** that is removed from the reactor **30**.

To maximize the recovery of the desirable refinery feedstock crude the undesirable elements that remain in the bottom fraction **34**, the bottom fraction **34** from the reactor **30** must be further treated using, for example, a high performance solvent extraction process **50**. The treatment of the bottom fraction **34** by solvent extraction process **50** allows the reactor **30** and the solvent extraction process **50** to be used in conjunction, to produce a suitable full range refinery feedstock crude.

The solvent extraction process **50** can comprise any suitable solvent extraction process. In one aspect, it can be a three stage super-critical solvent process that separates the asphaltenes from the resins in the bottom fraction **34**. The output of the solvent extraction process **50** can be an asphaltene stream **58**, an extracted oil stream **52** and a resin stream **54**. The asphaltene stream **58** is typically undesirable and is removed from the process **10**. The extracted oil stream **52** can be of a relatively high quality, with an API gravity range of 9 to 15. The resin stream **54** is typically of a lower quality than the extracted oil stream **52**, with an API gravity lower than the extracted oil stream **52**. In one aspect, the resin stream **54** can have an API gravity in the range of 0 to 10 API gravity.

The extracted oil stream **52** and the resin stream **54** from the solvent extraction process **50** can be blended along with the liquid product stream **44** obtained from the liquid gas separator **40** to form a final hydrocarbon product **60** meeting the specifications of the pipeline and/or refinery-ready. In one aspect, this final hydrocarbon product **60** would have an API gravity greater than 19. Typically, the final hydrocarbon product **60** would have a viscosity of 350 CentiStokes ("cSt") or less.

The resin stream **54** is typically of a lesser quality than the extracted oil stream **52**. The recycle portion **70** of the resin stream **54** can be blended with the feedstock **12** to be reprocessed in order to form the final hydrocarbon product **60**. As a result, this recycling portion of the resin stream will improve the quality of the final hydrocarbon product **60**.

In another aspect, to increase overall recovery of product hydrocarbon from reactor **30** and reduce solvent circulation rates, a high-performance solvent extraction process **50** may include a supplemental extraction process step, rinse column **50e**, upstream of the asphaltene stream **58**. Instead of sending stream **61**, the bottoms of the primary extractor **50c**, to an asphaltene stripper or spray dryer as is the case in conventional SDA units known in the art, stream **61** can be sent to a secondary solvent extraction column. Conventionally, additional solvent extraction is performed on the primary deasphalted oil, in the form of a resin extractor **50f**, to provide a separate deasphalted heavy oil stream **66**. The additional solvent extraction step on the asphaltene-rich stream by rinse column **50e** as shown in FIG. 3 uses standard liquid-liquid extraction with the same solvent used in the primary extractor. The placement of this standard liquid-liquid column on the asphaltene-rich stream is unique and is beneficial, since the solvent to oil ratio can be economically increased within this column up to 20:1 to increase the

recovery of deasphalted oil, while the overall solvent use is reduced. Solvent in stream **63** is added to the asphaltene-rich stream **61** to a very high solvent to oil ratio and is cooled further to enhance asphaltene precipitation and thus oil recovery within column **50**e. The deasphalted oil stream **64**, is sent to the resin extractor **50**f, to be further refined for product blending. The bottoms stream from the rinse column **50**e becomes stream **58**, and is sent for solvent recovery via distillation, stripping or flash drying.

Overall solvent use to achieve high hydrocarbon recovery in stream **60** can be 25% less than using comparable open art processes. To obtain desired yields of 99+% DAO (deasphalted oil) recovery in stream **60** while still meeting pipeline and refinery specifications, typical 3-stage extraction processes require solvent to oil ratios in the 8-9:1 range for Canadian Oil Sands bitumen (www.uop.com). As an example, for a 60,000 BPD bitumen flow, the minimum solvent needed is 480,000-540,000 BPD. Using the rinse column **50**e arrangement helps to reduce the total solvent circulated since the process step specifically targets the molecules (asphaltenes) that need to be separated from the desired crude (heavy oil). A solvent-to-oil ratio of 3-4:1 in the main extractor **50**a.b.c is only needed (240,000 BPD) to precipitate all of the thermally affected asphaltenes with minimum DAO entrainment. The rinse column, **50**e, will have a feed of approximately 6,000 BPD of asphaltene-based components and 750-1000 BPD of crude. A solvent to oil ratio of 15-20:1 in the rinse column **50**e would extract the remaining crude requiring up to 140,000 BPD of additional solvent. The total solvent circulated is 380,000 BPD with the rinse column configuration shown as **50**e, resulting in a 25% reduction in the amount of solvent circulated. The result is a significant reduction in energy consumption compared to a prior art 3-stage extraction process. This high performance solvent extraction scheme, including column **50**e, can be applied to an existing open-art solvent extraction scheme in operation to further increase crude yield and/or reduce operating costs by reducing total solvent circulation. In another aspect, the new scheme can be used as an improvement to designs in heavy oil recovery that would normally use prior art solvent deasphalting.

The resulting asphaltene stream **58** can be processed in a 20% smaller asphaltene drying unit. The core portion of the remaining dried asphaltenes tend to be less sticky, with side chains removed, resulting in less volume required to flash dry. In addition, the modified nature of the asphaltenes provides for the opportunity for more effective metals reclamation and better feedstock for a clean energy conversion technology (eg. gasification, catalytic gasification, oxy-combustion for enhanced SAGD production).

Process **10** provides a crude feedstock that is pipeline compliant and is optimal for high conversion refiners. Stream **60** has low metals (<20 wppm Ni+V), low asphaltenes (<0.3 wt %), a very low TAN number (<0.3 mg KOH/mg) no diluent, and is high in VGO range material (30-50% of crude). For high conversion refiners (>1.4:1 conversion to coking), the distillation quality of the crude produced in stream **60** will improve utilization of the highest profit-generating units while filling out the remaining units. FIG. **4** shows the distillation curve of a representative feedstock (dilbit) and the produced refinery-ready feedstock which is a well-balanced crude when compared to other heavy refinery feedstock crudes such as WCS (Western Canada Select). WCS has more residual requiring intense conversion and more light material than refiners can profitably refine to transportation fuels.

The combination of reactor **30** and the high performance solvent extraction process unit **50**, exhibits a reduced process complexity. This may be expressed as a Nelson complexity index value of 4.0-4.5, significantly less than 9.0-10.0 for a coking and/or hydroprocessing scheme. Another illustration of improved performance is the reduced energy requirement of 3.93 GJ/tonne feed when compared to a delayed coking process that requires an energy input of 4.70 GJ/tonne feed to operate. This is a 16.4% reduction in energy intensity. This corresponds to a specific greenhouse gas (GHG) output of 0.253 tonne CO2/tonne feed for the Delayed Coking process and 0.213 tonne CO2/tonne feed for the proposed process. On a product comparison basis, the energy reduction is approximately 25-27% versus a coking process.

When compared to a coking upgrading process and standard reactor and solvent extraction process, process **10** provides a significant improvement in yield by minimizing by-products (Coke and non-condensable hydrocarbons) as noted in Table 6.

TABLE 6

Product (stream 60) yield comparison		
	Volume %	Mass %
Coking	80-84	78-80
Standard reactor/solvent extraction process	86	80-82
Process 10	>88	83-85

The previous description of the disclosed embodiments is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the present invention. Various modifications to those embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown herein, but is to be accorded the full scope consistent with the claims, wherein reference to an element in the singular, such as by use of the article "a" or "an" is not intended to mean "one and only one" unless specifically so stated, but rather "one or more". All structural and functional equivalents to the elements of the various embodiments described throughout the disclosure that are known or later come to be known to those of ordinary skill in the art are intended to be encompassed by the elements of the claims. Moreover, nothing disclosed herein is intended to be dedicated to the public regardless of whether such disclosure is explicitly recited in the claims.

What is claimed:

1. A process for producing pipeline-ready or refinery-ready feedstock from heavy hydrocarbons using a high-performance solvent extraction process with high local solvent-to-process fluid ratios yet maintaining low overall solvent-to-process fluid ratios, comprising:

(a) performing mild thermal cracking on a process fluid which includes the heavy hydrocarbons, including heating the process fluid to a desired temperature, moving the process fluid into a reactor, and then by applying heat to the process fluid with substantially uniform heat flux over time in the reactor using heating devices appropriately spaced and immersed in the process fluid within the reactor to obtain substantially uniform in-reactor process fluid temperatures managing at least temperature and one of: in-reactor residence-time, rate of application of heat, pressure and

sweep gas in the reactor—in order to produce asphaltene-rich fractions; and

(b) then separating the asphaltene-rich fractions from a resulting thermally affected fluid so that the solvent extraction process acts only on those asphaltene-rich 5 fractions.

2. The process of claim **1** where a resin stream is extracted with a solvent extraction process and mixed with the heavy hydrocarbons to form the process fluid.

3. The process of claim **1** where a substantially uniform 10 temperature of the process fluid in the reactor is maintained between 675 and 775 degrees Fahrenheit.

4. The process of claim **1** where in-reactor residence time of the process fluid is between 40 and 180 minutes.

5. The process of claim **1** where a substantially uniform 15 heat flux introduced to the process fluid in the reactor is between 7000 and 12,000 BTU/hr.sq.ft.

6. The process of claim **1** where a ratio of sweep gas to process fluid is between 20 and 80 scf/bbl.

7. The process of claim **1** where pressure on the process 20 fluid in the reactor is less than 50 psig.

8. The process of claim **1** where the sweep gas is heated.

9. The process of claim **1** where the sweep gas is one or more of: nitrogen, steam, hydrogen, or light hydrocarbon comprising one or more of: methane, ethane, or propane. 25

* * * * *